Team 1 -- (Went after Team 8 b/c couldn’t get it working; )

* Slides/Presentation:
  + Slide 2 missed stating exactly why we need this research. What would be the work’s broader impact?
  + Dataset slide with description (visual and textual)
  + Prior work discussed with two citations. Would have been better to have much more. Sometimes a Venn Diagram can be helpful.
  + Algorithm described with a graphical figure, but it wasn’t exactly clear what was going on. Perhaps simplifying the figure or adding some text or color-coding?
    - Is there any interesting secret sauce here, or is it “just” a system?
  + Progress: set up repository with data visualization and source code from prior work
    - Prior work’s models did not seem to work, so new models were trained from scratch and seem to work better.
    - Why is this? Did you contact the authors?
  + Going forward:
    - Reasonable list of tasks but a bit short
  + Risks & Challenges listed – good.
  + Clever project name and funny mid-presentation break comic
* Report
  + When I read Sections I-III of your paper write-up, what you are doing makes way more sense to me than what came through in the presentation. The write-up uses much more jargon (not as good), but it is technically precise and clear. I would encourage you to think about how you can bring aspects of your writing ability into your ability to present in a coherent manner.